

Layer views campus peace, problems

Rich Davis, Daily Egyptian staff reporter, recently conducted a tape-recorded interview with Chancellor Robert G. Layer. The questions are those of the reporter and the answers are taken verbatim from the spontaneous replies of Layer. Further interviews with Chancellor Layer are planned periodically to report his thinking and positions on campus problems and developments.

Q: Chancellor, what do you consider to be the prospects for peace on the Carbondale Campus for fall quarter? Are you optimistic? If so, why?

A: I would say I am 'guardedly optimistic.' I think many of the things we have been able to do during the summer will help bring about a lessening of tensions. The 'guarded' part refers to the fact that I believe there are a number of things over which we have no control, those things that are external to our campus. Indeed, they may be national or international. For those things one always has to take one's chances. There is no assurance and certainly there is no power on the part of persons on this campus to condition, and in many ways very much influence, those events. As we know from the past, those events have had some bearing on events on the campus themselves.

Backs unrest report

Q: Recently the President's Commission on Campus Unrest released its report. There was mixed reaction to it. What is your opinion of the report?

A: I've been very favorably impressed with the report. Although I've not read the full report, I have read the United Press summary of it, and in it I found little if anything to find fault with. It appears to me to hit the most important points that need to be covered. I suppose the one thing upon which I feel less assured is the possible effectiveness that President Nixon could have on the situation. I'm not sure the nature of the leadership that he might exercise here in terms of perhaps coaxing people to get together and listen to each other more. I think most persons feel that they understand him, having heard what he has had to say in the past, so that unless he had something really unusual to offer that this might not be a particularly fruitful recommendation.

Groundrules discussed

Q: Chancellor, there have been rumors circulating during the summer and this quarter also that there would be renewed trouble on the campus. Do you have any reason to believe there will be?

A: Well, I would of course be foolish to think that there wouldn't be any trouble on a campus of 25,000 persons. I would have to be awfully naive to think this. The reason that I stated previously in another answer that I was guardedly optimistic is that I think we have, hopefully, put our own house in order in that we have specific groundrules for rallies and demonstrations. We are trying to provide a maximum amount of opportunity for responsible discussion. We've provided the Free Forum area. We have provided amplification in that area. We have said that groups may assemble elsewhere without amplification equipment and that if they desire specific areas other than the Free Forum area where one would need amplification or other types of equipment, that these would also be provided and scheduled to be done. Thus we are trying our best to assure that freedom of speech will not be just a matter of lip service from this administration but that it will be a real accomplishment. I personally believe that one not only should be allowed to speak but that people ought to listen to what is being said. And if people are willing to say things and are willing to respond to them, this is certainly far preferable to having to deal with violence simply because somebody feels that violence is the only way to be heard. Again, I think it is obvious that I can do nothing else and I would not want to do anything else other than to say that we must—by law as well as a moral obligation—keep order on the campus. We cannot permit physical destruction or personal injury or loss of life. This is just out of the question. But I think we can operate within the groundrules we have, within the new specifications, so that everybody knows exactly what the rules are. Hopefully, we have closed the gap on whatever ignorance may have existed in the past where people were doubtful about whether certain actions were allowable or not. I think we have streamlined the disciplinary procedures to the point where people will get a fair hearing and they will have rights of appeal and

there will be swift and hopefully just justice in this arrangement.

Campus politically neutral

Q: In a story carried in the Daily Egyptian, campus officials termed chances fairly good for keeping order based on internal problems (the Vietnam Center, AID grant, etc.) on the campus. But were much more pessimistic about national affairs. Is this how you feel?



Chancellor Robert Layer

A: This is a tough one. I would be more pessimistic of course if there is a sudden national calamity. I can't say in advance that what I would consider a calamity most other people would and therefore it would necessarily turn out to be that way on the campus. But there is little question in my mind that the events which take place on the national and international scene do arouse emotions. And certainly I think it would be most unfortunate for anyone to feel they were in order to disrupt the functioning of the university which ought to be considered to be above political controversy in terms of its total mission. But the whole notion of a university is that it's got to be a free forum for discussion of ideas where people can and do have opposing points of view but that they can be heard and listened to without being put upon, without being forced through violence to be quiet or to be forced to close down their activities simply because somebody doesn't happen to like what they're saying. Now I think we've got to differentiate very clearly between responsible dissent and that which operates to the disruption or a tentative closure of the University itself. Again we must never lose sight of the fact that persons do have individual rights as well as group rights and one of these rights is the right to an educational process free from disruption. So that when somebody feels that he has a right to disrupt or to attempt to shut down an activity he must realize that he then is abridging the rights of those who choose to continue that activity. And so the University in that sense has to maintain the operation and in that sense maintain a neutrality as far as the answers to the political question is concerned.

Governance changing

Q: Last May during the trouble many complaints about the University i.e., lack of communication, lack of an adequate faculty and student voice in University affairs, General Studies, quality of teaching, among others, were aired. Then this summer a major reorganization of the University was begun. Will the reorganization mean changes in these complaint areas? Will the faculty, students and other groups have a greater voice in University affairs? And should they?

A: Starting with the most specific question, I think there's no doubt that the faculty, students and other groups will have a greater voice in University affairs if the governance plans go

as I think they will. And the answer to the question 'should they?' is a resounding 'yes'. It seems to me that what we have been doing this summer is to give attention specifically to the fact that all groups should be represented in some kind of an overall governance system. Specifically, the Joint Task Force on Governance which has been working all summer has come up with a tentative proposal which would have at the top a Campus Senate in which all major groups on the campus would be represented and also continue in some form such groups as the Faculty Council, the Student Senate, the Graduate Council, the Graduate Student Senate, the non-academic employees and so on. This Task Force has stated as a policy and as one of its underlying principles that no group in the University family should be excluded from the governance system. When these individual councils are in operation and when the Campus Senate is in operation they will in effect be passing proposed legislation on to the chancellor and the chancellor will operate on somewhat the same level the President of the U.S. does at the federal level in that he (the Chancellor) would then be asked to accept or reject a proposal just as the executive branch does for the legislative branch. And I suppose in some sense one can also then think of the Board of Trustees as maybe a kind of judicial branch in that an appeal from the chancellor by these groups could be made to the Board of Trustees. But I do personally feel that what is in these plans does constitute a very real breakthrough in responsible representation by all the groups on campus in a fair way and in a meaningful way so that there will be no doubt that they can air their complaints and get answers to those complaints without being frustrated with either no answer or a confused answer.

Autonomy increasing

Q: What is your interpretation of the reorganization of the university? Was it needed? Is more autonomy for each campus important?

A: I believe that reorganization of the University was needed in that there has been for a number of years a moving apart of the two campuses and that there is greater diversity all the time in the makeup of the student body and the makeup of the kinds of programs which are present and planned for both campuses, and that truly, it is virtually impossible to think in terms of two campuses as distant as they are remaining entirely two parts of a single unit in which uniformity of the type which had been expected would continue. So I look forward to increasing autonomy for the two campuses. Just how far this autonomy would go of course depends upon a number of things, a number of points of view, not the least of which of course, is the points of view of the members of the Board of Trustees themselves. But I sense at the present time that there is a definite feeling of commitment on the part of virtually everyone that greater autonomy is the order of the day. The Administrative Council, which is made up of six persons, five voting members, Chairman Stephens, the two vice presidents and the two chancellors, and the nonvoting member, Chief of Board Staff Dr. James Brown, has had three meetings, perhaps four by the time this gets into print, at which the major consideration at the meeting has been how to dismantle and to divide up equitably and in a sensible way many of the functions which had been in the central organization, roughly in the president's office, previous to the reorganization.

Changes in VN center

Q: The Center for Vietnamese Studies is still a trouble spot for SIU. A Blue Ribbon Committee was set up to study the center. Do you have an opinion on the center controversy?

A: First I'd like to say that during the summer and early fall some very major things have been accomplished with respect to the changing the complexion of the center. Some of these things for some people may seem fairly trivial but I think at least one or two of them are exceedingly significant and fundamental. First of all, in the September meeting of the Board of Trustees, the Board did approve the memorandum which was sent between Vice President Ruffner and then acting chancellor Malone. I concurred in this memorandum contained was an administrative change placing the Center itself under the chancellor at Carbondale. It removed from payment of the AID grant funds the two persons chiefly responsible administratively for the Center it-

(Continued on page 7)

Layer discloses change in VN Center program

Approved For Release 2001/07/26 : CIA-RDP72-00337R000200140003-4

(Continued from page 5) grams, social programs, in the self, the director and assistant area. Now that memorandum, director. More importantly, it agreed to by the board makes went on to say that the function such programs an impossibility. Beyond that particular search activity. It is the attempt to discover whatever administrative steps of asking important things are true about the Graduate Council to furnish me with a process of Southeast Asia, an attempt to review and scrutiny of activities of the center which it take a scholarly approach in my mind the most (the Council) considers to be important accomplishment in essential and sufficient and I respect to the center on that occasion (the board meeting), will accept its recommendations in this regard. And this was that there was a full limitation on the activities of which may be proposed for the center but it also includes the center. That is, it was indicated as being restricted usual normal review of personnel who are to be hired and not permitted to engage by the center and other activities in which they might in programs which would involve the social and economic development of Vietnam. So engage. I've asked further that that this, I think, removes in the Graduate Council name at a very large measure the least one person who would statement, the feelings, that exercise this type of scrutiny the center is other than a re-immediately so that there some connection with economic and developmental programs which the surveillance of the center would have lapsed.

Approved For Release 2001/07/26 : CIA-RDP72-00337R000200140003-4

Daily

EGYPTIAN

Southern Illinois University

Volume 52 Thursday, October 15, 1970 Number 17

Percy to arrange AID, CIA meeting

By Cathy Speegle and Steve Brown
Daily Egyptian Staff Writers

U.S. Senator Charles Percy offered to arrange a meeting between students and C.I.A. and AID representatives concerning the Center for Vietnamese Studies during Wednesday's Campus Senate meeting.

Percy who was at SIU to address a session of Problems of Pollution and Environment Conference made a surprise stop at the Campus Senate.

The Senator made his offer of the meeting on the controversial Viet Center after several questions were raised concerning the nature of the Center and other AID operations throughout the world.

Percy said he wanted to be shown facts in regards to the charges that the center was not purely academic.

Tom Kelly, a campus senator, asked how does one "investigate the CIA."

Percy agreed with the comment adding he would arrange a meeting with representatives of the Campus Senate with AID and the CIA to be held in Washington. Percy said he would also like to include representatives of the Viet Center and the University.

Percy fielded questions on a broad range of subjects. He said he would campaign for Senator Charles Goodell of New York.

Goodell has been attacked recently by Vice President Spiro T. Agnew, Percy added that he did not consider himself a "Radic-lib."

Percy said he is endorsing Senator Ralph T. Smith because he feels an overall GOP senate would help move that body off dead center. He added Smith had also helped

him in past campaigns.

Percy was also asked about various state and national governmental agents entering college campuses.

"I feel the best way to handle this problem is to police yourself," Percy said. He said he feels that whenever the country is faced with anarchy they will react with repression.

At press time the senate had not gotten into its regular business session. Prior to the discussion with Sen. Percy, David Emerson, vice president of the University Bank in Carbondale and vice president of the Carbondale Chamber of Commerce, addressed the group.

Emerson questioned the senate as to what they felt was wrong with the merchants in the city. He also threw out a challenge for students to survey prices in neighboring communities.

Emerson suggested a formal price study be done to compare the entire economic structure between Carbondale and other cities in the area.

(Continued on page 9)

Gus Bode



Campus Senate hears local betterment ideas

(Continued from page 1)

The senators agreed with Emerson idea.

The senate also suggested some thought be given to a centrally located park or recreation area.

This issue was raised after Emerson mentioned some merchants were concerned

sitting outside their stores. The senators raised the

idea of establishing an area on the lawn of what is now Holden Hospital, for recreational purposes.

Emerson said he would investigate this idea and inform the senate of the cost of acquiring the land.

At press time the Senate was considering a report from

Governance in executive session.

Approved For Release 2001/07/26 : CIA-RDP72-00337R000200140008-4

Approved For Release 2001/07/26 : CIA-RDP72-00337R000200140008-4



Doug Allen

Board revises Allen's contract

By Rich Davis
Daily Egyptian Staff Writer
and
Pat Nussman
Student Writer

The SIU Board of Trustees Friday deleted conditional sections for a continuing appointment from the current contract of Doug Allen, leaving the philosophy instructor and Vietnam Center critic a nine-month term appointment.

On a motion by Trustee Martin Van Brown, the Board voted 3-1 with one abstention (by new member William Allen) to drop a conditional section of Allen's contract which would have made him an assistant professor upon completion of his Ph.D. requirements and given him a continuing appointment with the Univer-

"term" contract to Allen.

Allen can either accept the contract offer, protest and ask for a review, or reject it. Layer said if Allen accepts the contract which terminates June, 1971, he would have to renegotiate before next June if he intends to remain with the University.

The negotiation would be subject to Board approval.

Willis Moore, chairman of the Department of Philosophy, addressed the Board at Layer's request before the vote on Brown's motion.

Moore told the Board that the change in Allen's status is "latent" and that any change should be made at least a year in advance so a person could seek other employment.

"You've changed this proposal much too late," Moore

Chancellor Robert G. Layer said he will present the new

He said that in long-range thinking the Board's action is a technical violation of good

principles of administration and could get the Board into difficulties with the American Association of University Professors (AAUP).

Approved For Release 2001/07/26 : CIA-RDP72-00337R000200140008-4

Moore said Allen is a popular instructor, especially with younger faculty members and students. This change will be taken by them as a derogatory action, he said.

Allen is highly respected as a teacher and for his academic abilities, Moore said.

He said he is not willing to take the risk of handing "this kind of instigation for stirring demonstrations or difficulties" to the more radical elements of the faculty and student body.

The campus has been relatively quiet, Moore said, but this motion is in the opposite

(Continued on page 11)

Board changes Allen's contract

(Continued from page 1)

direction of what the University has been doing to better campus conditions and will undo what has been done to keep the campus operating.

Moore said that one young faculty member told him before he (Moore) attended the Board meeting, "If it can be done to anyone."

The Board approved 14 other conditional contracts.

Approved For Release 2001/07/26 : CIA-RDP72-00337R000200140008-4

L^{not.}ayer outlines I-70 revisions

By Rich Davis
Daily Egyptian Staff Writer

Revisions in the campus security plan, OPLAN I-70, were discussed by Chancellor Robert Layer in an interview Thursday.

Other topics included the proposed Black Students Union (BSU) benefit planned for the Arena, and Sen. Charles Percy's offer to arrange a meeting between students and CIA and AID officials concerning the Center for Vietnamese Studies.

Layer said OPLAN I-70 "is in its official form," but added, "the original plan was written by Mr. Leffler (Security Officer) and his staff during the summer prior to the time that such groups as the Crisis Prevention Committee, the student senate and the Faculty Council had a chance to make changes in certain procedures, of which some of them are in the OPLAN."

Layer also indicated that student workers could possibly be used for building watches if a crisis occurs. Building watches, a point of controversy among some faculty and staff who would be

used during disturbances, "is a problem which the crisis committee has been undertaking to revise," Layer said.

He said he has asked the Campus Senate and Faculty Council to consider this particular problem.

"They will come up with recommendations which I am sure will alter OPLAN on this score. In fact, Mr. Leffler himself, as I've indicated in the Chancellor's Column, has made a revision of this."

Commenting on the BSU request to use the Arena for a benefit concert Oct. 24-25 with proceeds going to help solve ghetto problems, Layer said he still supports the BSU request.

Layer and the Faculty Council had backed the use of the Arena, but the Board of Trustees' office rejected the request.

Layer indicated that the problem centered around who was sponsoring the concert, the BSU or the Cairo United Front. The United Front has been working with the BSU on the concert.

His decision should be forthcoming

(Continued on page 12)

Chancellor discusses current SIU issues

Approved For Release 2001/07/26 : CIA-RDP72-00337R000200140008-4

(Continued from page 1)

after the Board meeting at SIU's Little Grassy facility Friday.

Asked where he stood on the matter, Layer said "I like my decision."

As for Percy's surprise visit to the Campus Senate Wednesday night in which the Senator offered to arrange a meeting between students and officials from the CIA and AID, Layer said "I would be very much in favor of these meetings."

"I think it's always a good idea to have more information rather than less information. One of the worst things that can happen is for people to be suspicious about something that may not be going on but that they think is going on."

the Chancellor said the idea of a rumor control center on

campus as an additional function of the Information and Scheduling Center is excellent.

"If people use it and can get authoritative answers, there is no question that this is going to be better than having people confused."

He also said that negotiations, as far as he knew, were still continuing between the University and the Illinois Central railroad on parking lots rented by SIU from the IC.

The IC hiked the rent for the lots from about \$600 to \$27,500 this summer.

Approved For Release 2001/07/26 : CIA-RDP72-00337R000200140008-4

Apathy a threat

Oct 17

Percy raps pollution, birth rates

By Vera Paktor
Daily Egyptian Staff Writer

Can laws make the sterility of all women mandatory following the birth of the second child?

Must Congress limit to two the number of dependent children deductible from the Federal income tax for any family?

Can laws make provisions to return the environment to a usable and even enjoyable state?

According to U.S. Senator Charles H. Percy (R.-Ill.), the answers are yes.

Speaking at the Conference on Population and Pollution earlier this week, Percy said the public is responsible for the condition of the environment and "public indifference poses the greatest threat" to the environment.

Percy talked about the role of the Federal Government in population control and better environment.

The senator said that politicians in both parties have "made a commitment to cleaner environment," citing legislation in the last session of Congress which passed the Water Quality Act, the Solid Waste Disposal Act and the Clean Air Act.

According to Percy, industry will no longer be able to pollute the waters of this country without paying stiff fines.

"I became interested in this field when I saw the oil slicks on Lake Michigan and found out that shippers who caused

the slick did not even have the responsibility to clean it up," the senator said.

A bill is on the President's desk, Percy continued, "which prohibits the disposal of any deadly chemical" being dumped into any body of water.

Water pollution was not Percy's only concern.

"Public transportation must be made a safe way of travel for all citizens," Percy said. He added there are 80 million cars on our roads and it has become a "hassle to find a quiet clean beach or area where you can go to get away from the noise of the city."

In talking about the population problem, Percy said that "adopting children should be a way of life for Americans" who already have two children. Each child is a polluter in a nation where we "will soon run out of trees for houses, fuel for heat and food for survival."

"New York City and Chicago face the prospect of cold winters because of a shortage of coal and oil supplies," Percy said.

The population, according to Percy, has reached a catastrophic state. The problem can be solved "with adequate family planning and birth control information being made readily available to all people," he added.

"Our survival depends upon our willingness to reduce our rate of population growth and our willingness to redistribute it," he emphasized. Industry



Sen. Charles Percy

is being given the incentive important and necessary," Percy said. "Yet they will not yet overpopulated."

"Legislative remedies to unless combined with efforts environmental problems are to stem population growth."

Panel to discuss

Vietnam Center

The SIU Center of Vietnamese Studies will be the subject of a panel discussion at the Midwest Conference of Asian Affairs to be held this weekend at the University of Indiana at Bloomington, according to Doug Allen, instructor of philosophy.

Allen and C. Harvey Gardner, research professor of history, will participate in a panel titled "The Government and Scholarly Integrity: The Case of the Vietnamese Center at SIU."

The panel will be sponsored by the Committee of Concerned Asian Scholars, which issued a position statement last spring calling the center "A threat to academic freedom."

Chancellor's column

Report #2

Corrections: In the "Implementation of the 'Interim Policy on Demonstrations'" the statement "other rallies or demonstrations which necessitate the use of amplification equipment may be scheduled through the Office of Student Activities" and the statement "To do #3, a student or group needs only to schedule the rally or demonstration with the Office of Student Activities..." the phrase "Office of Student Relations" should be substituted for "Office of Student Activities." The Office of Student Relations is located in Barracks T-40.

Similarly, in the Chancellor's Column, Report #1, the amplification equipment for use in the "Free forum area" south of Anthony Hall is obtainable from the Student Activities Office, Barracks T-39 rather than from the Student Affairs Office, Washington Square.

I am sorry for these mis-directions which were caused by office relocations.

On September 29th Mr. Steve Brown, Egyptian Staff Writer, had a full-page article devoted to OPLAN 1-70, the "Civil Disturbances" plan authored by the Southern Illinois University Security Office in late August, 1970. One portion of the report refers to the employment of certain persons as building watchers. In the October 6th edition of the Egyptian Mr. Tony Cateuese asked several pertinent questions concerning such persons, the conditions of their use, and their rights to refuse such an assignment. I can state, categorically, that this portion of the OPLAN is presently under revision at my direction. Specifically, I have referred the entire question of who should building watch and under what conditions to such groups as the Faculty Council, the Student Senate, and the Crisis Management Committee. Mr. Leffler has presented me with a revised proposal involving paid persons and there will be a thorough airing of this most delicate matter the solution to which will avoid, if at all possible, the impressment into service of persons constitutionally opposed to such an assignment.

The original version of OPLAN contained a "Statement on Disruptions" which has since been superseded by my acceptance of an improved version recommended by the Crisis Management Committee.

Both of these examples are designed to emphasize that OPLAN, as well as other plans for perfecting the implementation of policies of fair and consistent treatment of all persons under crisis conditions, is constantly under the scrutiny of responsible agencies of the University.

Crisis Management

The Crisis Management Committee, being sensitive to the possible allegation that it would literally "manage" crises in some "general staff" way, has changed its name to the Chancellor's Committee on Crisis Prevention (CCCCP). At this time it is concerning itself with the following, and other, topics: police sidearms; building watches; the use of the press in crises; a civilian review board for campus police; the evacuation of buildings.

The Report of the Lieutenant Governor's (Simon) Committee on Southern Illinois University

This report subtitled, "A Practical Study of Campus Problems," and its "Concurring Minority Report" include the work of responsible representatives of both the faculty and student body.

The entire report contains twenty-two major committee recommendations which are further broken down into detailed recommendations of five separate subcommittees:

1. Liaison among students, faculty administration, and the Board of Trustees
2. Law enforcement
3. Off-Campus Housing
4. Curriculum
5. Liaison between the University and the Community

The "concurring minority report" emphasizes the recommendations of the main report in the areas of "frustrations, produced by the type of mass education..." and "the situation in Southeast Asia and the belief that Southern Illinois University had a role in that conflict. (I will devote the entire text of the next "Chancellor's Column" to a discussion of the Center for Vietnamese Studies and, therefore, will not comment on it here.)

Starting with the work of the Sub-committee on Liaison Among Students, Faculty, Administration, and the Board of Trustees, I will comment on some of the recommendations directed to this office.

Recommendation: "We recommend that the University review the procedures for the suspension and dismissal of students."

Comment: Such a review is underway and has already resulted in the separation of student services and student relations. Following are the texts of the two basic directives on this matter:

October 6, 1970

TO: Edward H. Hammond
Assistant to the Chancellor for Student Relations

FROM: Robert G. Layer, Chancellor

SUBJECT: Delegation of Disciplinary Responsibility

"In accordance with Part III, Article IV, Section 10 of the Board of Trustees bylaws, I hereby delegate responsibility for suspension or any lesser disciplinary sanc-

tion invoked against any Southern Illinois University-Carbondale student for non-academic reasons to the Office of Student Relations."

October 12, 1970

TO: Mary Alice Arnold
William Bleyer
John Evans
Jefferson Humphrey
Loretta Ott
Will Travelstead
Elwyn Zimmerman

FROM: Edward H. Hammond
Assistant to the Chancellor for Student Relations and
Wilbur N. Moulton
Dean of Student Services

SUBJECT: Disciplinary Responsibility and Procedure
"In order to implement the attached delegation of authority by the Chancellor the following procedures are hereby established:

You are hereby delegated the authority to adjudicate disciplinary cases resulting from breaches of the Southern Illinois University-Carbondale Student Disciplinary Code which occur within your residential area as hereinafter defined.

Definitions of Jurisdictional Area

1. Thompson Point-Thompson Point is that area bordered on the north by Lincoln and Douglas Drive and on the east, west and south by the Campus Lake.
2. Brush Towers-Brush Towers is that area bordered on the north by East Park Street, on the east by Logan Drive, on the south by the access road to Neely Hall extended, and on the west by the service access road to Grinnell Hall extended to the southern border.
3. University Park-University Park is that area bordered on the north by the access road to Neely Road extended, on the west by the Illinois Central railroad tracks, on the south and east by Logan Drive to the intersection of second access road.
4. Small Group Housing-Small Group Housing is that area which is the east half of the northeast quarter of Section 29.
5. V.T.I.-V.T.I. is that area considered to be Track 520.
6. Off-Campus Students-Jurisdictional definition may be defined at a later date.
7. Married and Graduate Students-Jurisdictional definition may be defined at a later date.

Procedure

The following procedure will be followed in implementing the above delegation of disciplinary responsibility.

1. For behavior within jurisdictional area-

When a breach of the Southern Illinois University-Carbondale Student Disciplinary Code is discovered or reported, the Area Dean or his delegate should review the information pertaining to the incident. If the information is sufficient, charges will be filed and appropriate notice given the student or students involved. The accused student would then be afforded either an administrative hearing or a Judicial Board hearing on said charges. The findings of either the administrative hearing or the Judicial Board hearing would be in the form of a recommendation to the Assistant to the Chancellor for Student Relations. The recommendation of Judicial Board shall be submitted through their Area Dean. Accompanying the recommendation will be a completed code sheet. The goldenrod copy of the code sheet will be returned to the Area Dean after implementation of the appropriate penalty.

If the Area Dean wishes, he may refer a case in his jurisdictional area to the Office of Student Relations without taking action.

2. For behavior outside of jurisdictional area-

When a breach of Southern Illinois University-Carbondale Student Disciplinary Code is discovered or reported by a member of the University community, the Office of Student Relations will review the complaint and the accompanying information and provide appropriate notice of pending action if such action is warranted with a copy going to the Area Dean for his information. The accused individual will then be provided an administrative hearing within the Office of Student Relations and the opportunity to appeal any decision to the Student Conduct Review Board. When the final decision is reached the appropriate penalty will be implemented by the Office of Student Relations, and the goldenrod copy of the code sheet will be sent to the Area Dean for his information.

If it is the decision of the Office of Student Relations that there is insufficient justification for charges from a complaint or report, the information will be forwarded to the Area Dean. The Area Dean has the prerogative to take action under the procedure outlined for behavior within his jurisdictional area if on the basis of his review such action is deemed justified."

In a later edition of the Chancellor's Column I will resume the discussion of the Simon Committee's recommendations.

Robert G. Layer
Chancellor

(Paid Announcement)

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional) Clippings from the DAILY EGYPTIAN, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, relating to Center for Vietnamese Studies/Center for Vietnamese Studies and Programs-Newsletter (annotated)

FROM: [REDACTED] EXTENSION NO. [REDACTED] STATINTL
 DATE 20 October 1970

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building) STATINTL
 RECEIVED DATE OFFICER'S INITIALS COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

[REDACTED]

ml

FYI - Please note the annotated Newsletter listing various "Center" Committees. Individuals marked with a * have discussed the Center with [REDACTED]. In each case he declined interest. Individuals marked with ✓ are cooperative contacts. The Center has not been discussed with them. STATINTL

STATINTL

[REDACTED]

5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			
11.			
12.			
13.			
14.			
15.			

Note that DCS has known a number of faculty members who have some connection with the Center.

[REDACTED]

STATINTL